STATE OF CONNECTICUT

STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT COMMISSION MEETING

JUNE 17, 2021 MEETING HELD VIA ZOOM CONVENED AT 9:03 a.m.

Board Members Present:

Peter Adomeit, Chairman

Michael Carey, Trustee
Michael Bailey, Trustee
Sandra Fae Brown Brewton, Trustee
Karen Buffkin, Trustee
Michael Carey, Trustee
Martha Carlson, Deputy Comptroller, Ex Officio Member
John Flores, General Counsel Office of the Treasurer, Ex Officio
Member
Sal Luciano, Trustee
Karen Nolen, Trustee
Michael O'Brien
Claude Poulin, Trustee
Mark Sciota, Municipal Liaison
Timothy Ryor, Trustee

Absent:

Carl Chisem, Trustee Robert D. Coffey, Trustee Angel Quiros, Trustee

Also Present:

Bruce Barth, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole Cindy Cieslak, General Counsel to the Commission, Rose Kallor John Herrington, Director, Retirement Services Division Yamuna Menon, General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller, Office of the State Comptroller Michael Rose, General Counsel to the Commission, Rose Kallor Colin Newman, Assistant Director, Retirement Services Division Alisha Sullivan, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole

TRANSCRIPTIONIST: Karin A. Empson

1 (Proceedings commenced at 9:03 a.m.) 2 3 4 MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. I will call the meeting to order. This is a meeting of the Connecticut State 5 Employees Retirement Commission for June 9th, 1921 6 7 (sic). 8 Cindy, could you read off the attendance, please? 9 MS. CIESLAK: Good morning, everyone. 10 11 is Cindy Cieslak. One correction for the record is that the meeting is June 17^{th} . So today is June 17^{th} . 12 MR. ADOMEIT: Oh, yeah, I'm looking at -13 you're right. I'm looking at the minutes from the last 14 15 meeting. It's over on my right on a computer screen. Thank you. 16 MS. CIESLAK: No problem. Present today, we 17 have Alisha Sullivan, Tax Counsel from Robinson & Cole; 18 19 Bruce Barth, Tax Counsel from Robinson & Cole; Cindy 20 Cieslak, General Counsel from Rose Kallor; Colin Newman, Assistant Director, Retirement Services 21 22 Division; Claude Poulin, Actuarial Trustee; John 23 Flores, General Counsel to the Office of the Treasurer and Ex Officio Member of the Commission; John 24 Herrington, Division Director, Retirement Services 25

```
Division; Karen Buffkin, Trustee; Karen Nolen, Trustee;
1
     Mark Sciota, Municipal Liaison; Martha Carlson, Deputy
2
3
     Comptroller, Ex Officio Member of the Commission;
4
     Michael Carey, Trustee; Michael Rose, General Counsel
     from Rose Kallor; Michael Bailey, Trustee; Yam Menon,
5
     General Counsel and Assistant Comptroller; Michael
6
7
     O'Brien, Trustee; Peter Adomeit, Chairman; Sal Luciano,
     Trustee; Tim Ryor, Actuarial Trustee.
8
                Is there anybody that I missed? I think
9
     that's it.
10
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Thank you, Cindy. At
     the outset, we need to amend the agenda today to ask
12
     for the approval of the Commission of the Hartford
13
14
     Housing Authority settlement amortization schedule.
                MR. POULIN: Mr. Chairman?
15
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yes.
16
                MR. POULIN: I move to add after Item 15 of
17
     the agenda the following Item 16 to request commission
18
19
     approval of the Hartford Housing Authority settlement
20
     amortization schedule prepared by CavMac (inaudible)
     Actuary.
21
                MR. ADOMEIT: Thank you. Is there any
22
23
     discussion?
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
24
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bailey.
25
```

1 Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, all in 2 favor, say aye.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.

MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. Unanimous, the ayes have it. Thank you.

Chairman's report, I was looking back at the other minutes to the last meeting that we had, and something really got my attention. The number of disability backlog as of January of 2020 was almost 2,000, 1,992 to be exact. In May of '21, that disability backlog had been reduced almost in half to 1,140. And I don't know if that slipped by some of you; it slipped by me; and those figures really did jump out. So it's another congratulation to John Herrington and Marty and the folks that run the shop. Thank you so much.

That is my report. Division Director's report?

MR. HERRINGTON: Yes, so you have the report in front of you. And I appreciate the recognition. The disability backlog, that certainly is one of our initiatives. That's something there was kind of a delay in our kind of processing of those due to the initial Covid months, but we are definitely attacking that in earnest now with the goal of completely

eliminating that backlog by the end of this year. So, you know, come 2022, we can focus all of our efforts on that 2022 surge as well.

In addition to the disability backlog, we continue to make progress with respect to the processing time for initial disability application. We should be in a point relatively soon where it's almost in real time where, you know, barring some delay in an individual actually getting all of the required medical documentation, that people should go before the MEB within 60 or 90 days of their application. So we are making a great deal of progress in the disability area.

Also at the same time, our competing concern is preparing for 2022. As of this point, we've released the self-service estimator to approximately 74% of the eligible population. Right now, the number of individuals that appear to be eligible for 2022 sits at just over 13,000. We've released the tool right now to just under 10,000. The larger agencies that are meeting are all the higher ed agencies, and those are a bit more difficult for us to deal with just because of the nature of employment. There are many people that have, you know, part-time lecturing and sabbaticals, those types of things. And so, there's more work involved with coming up with a reasonable figure for

those estimates.

But we are partnering with UConn and with the Board of Regents, and we hope to have those releases done by the fall. And from, you know, the fall through the end of the year, the remaining cases would be those that have the most difficult records. But we are in a good position there. The goal here is so that everyone will on-demand have access to figures that they can rely on to make a decision whether or not to retire for 2022. But also what that means is that we've looked at that record, and so it's going to be that much easier for us to process the applications when it comes time to retire.

So, I mean, as long as I've been here, there's always been a wish or a hope that we could just push a button and someone could retire with their true entitlement. We aren't a hundred percent there, and there will always be some need for manual intervention, but this effort is going to allow us to be in a position where we can push the button for a number of these individuals and get them out of the payroll at a benefit amount that's within reason.

You know, there always will be the manual intervention, but in the past, you know, there's been a concern in terms of whether we would have the ability

or capacity to get, you know, 10,000 people on the payroll, and in the past, that was never possible. At this point, it will be possible.

In terms of some of our concerns with respect to 2022, there are different phases. Right now, we're kind of in our preparation phase where we're getting records in order; we're working on our communications with the relevant populations. The part that's going to be a bit of a challenge for us would be the intake process. I think, you know, in the past, when we've had retirement incentives, you know, there were more HR professionals that we could rely on to process that paperwork. Now with the DAS pod consolidation, there are far fewer individuals that are dedicated to retirement.

So that's a special circumstance that we're going to have to continue to work through with the DAS pod so that we're in a position to actually just deal with the sheer volume of paperwork that we anticipate, and also look for, you know, ways that we can leverage technology to kind of streamline that paperwork process, where possible.

As I discussed, I think technology is certainly going to assist us in terms of getting people on the payroll in a way that has never been available

to us historically. But then the next challenge is to ensure that the pension that someone is going to receive for the rest of their life is the amount to which that individual is actually entitled, and that's where that requires a great deal of manual intervention.

So, you know, there's so much, you know, kind of work that we can do at this point, but really, the harder part of our job with respect to these retirement surges all happens after the applications have been received by the agency. So we're continuing to think through what our options are to prepare for that piece of the project.

Some other things that came up with the end of the legislative session, we were hoping that there would be a legislative fix to a MERS problem with respect to MERS re-employment. It appears as though that legislative fix did not occur, so that's an issue that we will have to pursue again next session. But also there are some inquiries that we have, some (inaudible) in terms of a possible resolution for the enrollment of non-MERS-participating entities and retirement plans.

And so I think I will likely bring those concerns to the Legal & Personnel Subcommittee, and in

the future, some of those proposals may come to the full Commission.

There was also a provision that was kind of not necessarily surprising to me, and I don't think that it's going to really impact our business too much. But there was - or there is an amendment to Connecticut General Statutes 5-156(a) where there is a timing requirement for the Commission's finalization of actuarial evaluations per SERS. It appears to me that this relates to the fact that, you know, thankfully last year and perhaps even this year, there's been additional contribution made to the SERS plan due to the excess volatility cap. And just reading through the lines, it appears as though there's a desire for us to wait to see whether one of those payments occurs before we finalize the actuarial valuations.

Another initiative that we're working on with respect to MERS, as we're all aware, each couple of months, you know, over the past, you know, maybe four or five years, we deal with some, you know, iteration of an issue where there's a question in terms of whether MERS entities continue to involve their new hires into the MERS plan. We've taken some efforts on our own to identify those entities where that might be a problem. But just it's hard for us to get a clear

picture, you know, for based just on the numbers. So there are some entities that have very few participants. You know, they may have four or five participants and they may not involve the member for, you know, 10 years. And that may be, you know, legitimate. They just haven't hired anyone.

There are other entities where there's a gap in enrollments. It may be due to a change in policy. We have some examples where we have actual labor contracts, which evidence the fact that from some date forward, some entities are not enrolling new members. So what we are working on is a communication to be disseminated to all of the MERS-participating entities clarifying, you know, what the requirements are, you know, alerting the entities to the Thompson decision, mentioning our recent settlement with Hartford Housing, and inviting the entities to make a representation in terms of whether or not they continue to involve new employees into the plan. And hopefully we will have that communication ready to present to Legal & Personnel and to the Commission next month.

Another achievement is we finalized our updates to the compilation. We've circulated that to Legal & Personnel and to the parties. And hopefully, you know, next month or perhaps the month after, we

will have a document for this Commission to accept and for us to post on the website.

MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Well, thank you, John.

Are there any questions or comments? Okay, hearing

none - Marty, do you have anything to add?

MR. HERRINGTON: Marty, you're on mute.

MS. CARLSON: Yeah, just a couple things to add onto what John has been talking about. And it's - you know, there are several of you who have been on the Commission long enough to remember the 15,000 backlog that we had five years ago or six years ago, and this pension module has proved to be an extraordinary powerful tool. You know, data is data, and if there's bad data in, there's going to be bad data coming out.

So I just wanted to highlight to you that prior to any of these agencies receiving the pension calculator for the eligible employees for 2022. John's staff has gone through basically each one of them to look for crazy stuff and corrected the data before it goes. So there is high potential that this pension calculator, once it gets to an employee, is as accurate is it's probably going to get.

And then when the employee - if the employee, you know, calls in and says something's wrong, we'll look into it. But even if the employee does not do

that, if they submit their application, then it gets eyes on it anyway, even though if the pension calculator has gone out before it goes to payroll.

I just want to put a marker down, Fae. I'm not quite sure how I navigate this, but you guys will remember me, in the famous e-rips with Jodi Rell, the amount of backlog that that created. There was - I found - John was able to find for me the language in that. There was some language that came out - I think it was an executive order - that allowed critical OSC Comptroller employees to take advantage of that date, but to continue to work for 12 months after that in order to get the - you know, everybody up onto - that wanted to retire.

There are 30 members, 30 employees, in our agency who are in critical positions in payroll and pension payroll, pension healthcare, and John's retirement services division that are eligible to retire between now and 2022. So I don't know - I wrote - I adjusted the language from the Jodi Rell piece. I don't know who to ask to make sure that that happens. We will not be able to process these retirements that we believe are going to hit in any way on time if we can't keep folks on for a while.

I remember the list last time, Fae, was very

narrow. I mean, it's not me; it's not - you know.

It's literally transactional employees. So we just

need to figure it out, and I'm not quite sure how to

navigate that.

MS. BREWTON: Marty, send me the language,

because if memory serves me correctly, there was an

because if memory serves me correctly, there was an underlying agreement between Linda and Danny that facilitated that. So-

MS. CARLSON: Okay.

MS. BREWTON: Send it to me, and I'll pursue it.

MS. CARLSON: I will do that. And then the only thing else - the only other thing I would say that I think John would recognize too is that we are really starting to bump up now in retirements now. I think it's a combination - I actually think it's a combination of, I was going to go in 2022, but now they want me to come back to work, you know, into the building, so I'm just going to go now. There were over 250 or so, 244 in June. I think John is projecting over 300 for July. That actually could turn out to be the best-case scenario for us if it just ticks up and ticks up so we don't end up with, you know, a July 1 application pile of 4,000. So I just point that out.

I'll send you that, Fae, thank you.

MS. BREWTON: Mm-hmm.

MR. FLORES: Marty, t

MR. FLORES: Marty, this is John. Sorry for bothering everyone else's time, but do you feel any success with respect to crucial employees who retire, whether we could refill their positions? Because if we expect bonds to be issued, we're going to have some retirements, we suspect, then we need to bring their replacements on board beforehand.

MS. CARLSON: We've already done that, John. We've already done that. So, I mean, we had a critical retiree a couple of months - I don't know, a few months from now in OPM, and DAS allowed us to refill ahead of time.

MR. FLORES: Okay.

MS. CARLSON: There's actually something out from the Secretary, Fae, because of that, that you can do that if it's a critical employee.

MS. BREWTON: And that was something that the Boston consulting group recommended as well.

MS. CARLSON: Oh, they did? Okay.

MS. BREWTON: Yes.

MR. FLORES: All right. That's good info.

I'll let my deputy know that, if he doesn't already.

MS. CARLSON: Okay.

MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Is there any further

discussion? Okay, hearing none, Marty, thank you.

Let's move on to new matters. Sal?

MR. LUCIANO: May I have a - I'd like to make a point of personal privilege.

MR. ADOMEIT: Sure.

MR. LUCIANO: So we tried to get a Covid presumption for essential workers who the Governor said had to work during the pandemic. If you remember early on, first they said you don't need masks, then you need masks, but we can't get you masks. we were not able to get the presumption, but we were able to get close to \$40 million for essential workers for essentially wage replacement and out-of-pocket medical expenses. We had no home for that \$40 million; nobody was willing to take it.

And so what that meant was that we weren't going to be able to get the program up and running for those people who sacrificed their lives and their families' lives. Our last hope was the Comptroller and he agreed to take the program. So on behalf of all of the essential workers who will need this program and who put their lives and their families' lives on the line to survive this last year, I just want to thank him on behalf of all of those people. And all of the union trustees and many of the managers have essential

```
1
     workers.
2
                And so we're very grateful for that. And I
3
     just wanted to point that out.
4
                MR. ADOMEIT: Thank you, Sal.
                MR. LUCIANO: Thank you.
5
                Motion to approve Item 1, the minutes.
6
7
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
8
     all in favor, say aye.
9
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
10
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
12
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to move Item 2, the
13
     Chair's per diem.
14
15
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? All in favor,
16
17
     raise your hand or say aye.
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
18
19
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
20
     it.
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to move Claude's per
21
22
     diem with Claude abstaining.
23
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
24
     all in favor, signify by raising your hand or saying
25
```

```
1
     aye.
2
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
3
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
4
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to move Item 4, Tim's
5
     per diem, with Tim abstaining.
6
7
               MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
8
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
9
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
10
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
12
               MR. LUCIANO: Motion to accept Items 5 and 6,
13
     which are invoices from our legal team.
14
15
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
16
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand. Opposed,
17
     nay. The ayes have it.
18
19
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to move Items 7 and 8.
20
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
21
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
22
23
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
               MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
24
     it.
25
```

```
MR. LUCIANO: Motion to move Items 9 and 10.
1
2
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
3
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
4
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
5
               MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
6
7
     it.
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve Items 11, the
8
     Medical Examining Board recommendations.
9
               MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
10
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
12
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
13
               MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
14
15
     it.
16
               MR. LUCIANO: Motion to accept Item 12.
               MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
17
               MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
18
19
     all in favor, say aye-
20
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
21
               MR. ADOMEIT: --or raise your hand. Opposed,
22
     nay. The ayes have it.
23
               MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve Items 13 and
     14, Connecticut judges, family support magistrates, and
24
     Connecticut probate judges.
25
```

```
1
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
2
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
3
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
4
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
5
     it.
6
7
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve Item 15.
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
8
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
9
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
10
11
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
12
     it.
13
               MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve New Item 16,
14
15
     Hartford Housing.
16
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay, thank you. Any
17
     discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say aye or
18
19
     raise your hand.
20
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
21
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
22
23
               MR. LUCIANO: Now, I'd like to make a motion
     that we go into executive session regarding Ronald
24
     Johnson v. SERC with the usual suspects who can aid in
25
```

```
1
     our discussion remaining.
2
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
3
                MR. ADOMEIT: Do you want to name them,
4
     Cindy, or is that sufficient?
                MS. CIESLAK: I'll go ahead and name them.
5
     So we have Alisha Sullivan, Bruce Barth, Colin Newman,
6
7
     John Herrington, Michael Rose, Yam Menon, and myself,
8
     Cindy Cieslak.
9
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Thank you. All in
10
     favor, say aye.
11
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
12
     it.
13
                (The Board was in executive session from 9:26
14
15
     a.m. to 10:06 a.m.)
                MR. LUCIANO: I'd like to make a motion that
16
     we allow Corey Judge's application to go to the MEB.
17
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
18
19
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
20
     all in favor, say aye-
21
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
                MR. ADOMEIT: --or raise your hand. Opposed,
22
23
     nay. The ayes have it.
                I believe that's the only motion we need to
24
25
     make.
```

```
1
                MR. LUCIANO: If that's correct - is that
     correct? Okay. Motion to adjourn.
2
3
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: All in favor, say aye or raise
4
     your hand.
5
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
6
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
7
8
     it. Thank you all very much.
9
                MR. LUCIANO: Thank you. Have a good-
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah.
10
                (Adjourned at 10:06 a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	I, Karin A. Empson, do hereby
8	certify that the preceding pages are an accurate
9	transcription of the Connecticut State Employees
10	Retirement Commission meeting held electronically via
11	Zoom, conducted at 9:03 a.m. on June 17, 2021
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	Karin A. Empson
19	Karin A. Empson
20	
21	08/23/2021
22	Date
23	
24	
25	